





## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/509,807	04/28/2000	WILFRIED MODROW	3245-734PUS 9810		
75	590 05/17/2002				
THOMAS C PONTANI COHEN PONTANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVENUE			EXAMINER		
			TRAN, LEN		
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, N	NY 10176	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1,2,, 1014,1			1725	14	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					mk-14			
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/509	9,807	MODROW ET AL.				
Offic	Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Len T	ran	1725				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this commun	nication appears on	the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addr	ess			
THE MAILING C - Extensions of time n after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply with - Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD F DATE OF THIS COMMUN nay be available under the provisions 4S from the mailing date of this comi y specified above is less than thirty (3 y is specified above, the maximum son in the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the latutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this comi  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>06 May 200</u>	<u>2</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action	on is <b>FINAL</b>	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.					
	s application is in conditio accordance with the prac <b>ms</b>				merits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s)	<u>5 and 8</u> is/are pending in t	he application.		•				
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u>	i and 8 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restric	ction and/or election	n requirement.		. •			
Application Papers	;							
9) ☐ The specifi	cation is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)∏ The drawin	g(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b)	objected to by the Ex	xaminer.				
Applicant	may not request that any ob	jection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The propos	ed drawing correction file	d on is: a)[_	] approved b)☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
If approve	d, corrected drawings are re	quired in reply to this	Office action.					
12)☐ The oath o	declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowled	dgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	] Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Cert	tified copies of the priority	documents have b	een received.					
2.☐ Cert	tified copies of the priority	documents have b	een received in Applica	ation No				
	ies of the certified copies application from the Interriched detailed Office action	national Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).		age			
_	ment is made of a claim f		•		oplication).			
_a)	anslation of the foreign lar	nguage provisional	application has been r	eceived.	, ,			
Attachment(s)	,							
· =	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (F sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P			ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). al Patent Application (PTO-1				

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simsek "Dynamic Simulation of Dual-Line Continuous strip Processing Operations" in view of Chun et al (US 5,509,460).

Simsek discloses a method for determining and controlling the material flow of continuous cast slabs in a continuous casting installation by monitoring and optimizing the temperature on the transport path of the continuous cast slabs between the continuous casting installation and a rolling mill (page 46, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph through page 47, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph and 5<sup>th</sup>

Art Unit: 1725

paragraph) comprising the method of determining an amount of heat and a temperature profile of the continuous slab by calculating the convective mixing of the amount of heat contained in the continuous cast slab and the time dependent heat loss from the inhomogenously cooling of the continuous cast slab, wherein the step of calculating comprises using a mathematical physical model, finite element numerical techniques (page 47, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph).

Simsek fails to disclose the steps of: a) determining the liquid phase and physical parameters, such as density of the slab at the mold exit, controlling the material flow in the continuous casting installation via a slab monitoring system and using the amount of heat and the temperature profile determined in step b. as an input to the slab-monitoring system. Step c. comprises using a surface temperature of the continuous cast strip determined in step a. as an input to the slab monitoring system. Step c. further comprises automatically controlling the material flow via the slab monitoring system based on the amount of heat and the temperature profile determined in step b. and the surface temperature of the continuous cast slab.

However, Chun et al discloses a method of continuous casting and detecting a temperature of the liquid phase of the continuous slab and physical parameters, density, of the slab (col 2, lines 1-24 and col 5, lines 1-10), and controlling the material flow in the continuous casting installation via a slab-monitoring system (400) of the continuous casting installation (fig. 1). Chun et al shows, wherein in step a. comprises determining a surface temperature of the slab, and step c. comprises using a surface temperature of the continuous cast slab determined in step a. as an input to the slab monitoring system, and then step c. further comprises automatically controlling the material flow via the slab monitoring system (figure 1, col 5, lines 21-50).

Art Unit: 1725

Chun et al teaches the following differences for the purpose of detecting spatial profile of the liquid metal/solid metal interface since it is important for optimizing magnetic stirring and soft reduction techniques employed to minimize phase segregation in high alloy steel casting and in addition having slab monitoring system in order to maximize productivity and minimize initial and operating costs.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide Chun et al's method of determining the liquid and physical parameters of the slab and incorporating Chun et al's slab monitoring system as an automatic controller in Simsek in order to maximize productivity and minimize initial and operating costs.

### Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 5/06/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 1. in page 6, applicant argues that Chun et al fail to disclose the step of controlling the material flow of the continuous cast slab from the casting installation to the rolling mills based on the surface temperature of the slab, the amount of heat, the temperature profile as recited in the claim. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant acknowledges Chun et al disclose a method for detecting a solid/liquid interface in a continuous cast slab at the exit of the casting device. Applicant also acknowledges that Chun et al use this information to control the casting

Art Unit: 1725

machine, thereby controlling the formation of the strand. Examiner would like to explain that Chun et al's method is to control the formation of the strand to the rolling mills. Although, the rolling mill is not shown in the figures of Chun et al, however, such configuration is conventional in the casting art. The essential feature in applicant's claimed invention is the controlling of the material flow at the casting installation, which is the casting device of Chun et al. Chun et al shows the measurement of the temperature profile of the cast strand, taking in consideration of inherent properties such as surface temperature and heat capacity to control the formation of the strand. The controlled formation of the strand result in the controlling of the material flow of the slab to the rolling mill. Therefore, claims 5 and 8 are still rejected.

## **Inquiry**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (703)605-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3602 for regular communications and (703)305-3602 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1725

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Len Tran Examiner Art Unit 1725

LT May 15, 2002

> M. ALEXANDRA ÉLVE PRIMARY EXAMINER